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The inappropriate activation of transcription factors, including STATs, is known to promote tumor initiation and progression. The most common mechanisms of misregulation lead to constitutive activation of WT STATs. However, the recent discovery of rare STAT mutations in hematopoietic malignancies suggests that STAT mutants may be oncogenic. In this issue of the JCI, Pham et al. use a transgenic mouse model to demonstrate that STAT5B*N642H is sufficient for the development of T cell neoplasia. This study, along with other studies of constitutively active STAT mutants, provides insight into the pathogenesis and treatment of STATS-driven cancer.

Oncogenic transcription factors

Many human diseases are characterized by aberrant gene expression patterns that alter cellular function. Such abnormal expression is commonly caused by the misregulation of transcription factors, transcriptional cofactors, and chromatin regulators (1). Within the context of cancer, misregulation often manifests as inappropriate activation of unmutated or WT transcription factors that control the expression of genes promoting proliferation and survival (2). These mechanisms of activation often include overexpression or hyperactivation of the WT protein. Although less common, misregulation can also manifest as gain-of-function point mutations in the gene encoding the transcription factor (3, 4). Regardless of the mechanism, these events can lead to the inappropriate activation of transcription factors that promote tumor initiation and progression, thus transforming normal cellular proteins into so-called “oncogenic” transcription factors.

STATs in cancer

One prototypical family of oncogenic transcription factors, the STAT family, consists of seven members, STAT1 through STAT6, including the closely related STAT5A and STAT5B. In the absence of an upstream signal, such as cytokine or growth factor stimulation, STATs reside in the cytoplasm as latent, antiparallel dimers. Following stimulation, STATs are tyrosine phosphorylated by upstream kinases, including JAKs, and undergo a conformational change to form active, parallel dimers that translocate to the nucleus and regulate the expression of genes involved in proliferation, differentiation, and survival (Figure 1). Active STAT dimers are then dephosphorylated and shuttled out of the nucleus to complete the activation-inactivation cycle (5).

Under physiological conditions, STAT signaling is transient and tightly regulated by endogenous inhibitors, such as suppressor of cytokine signaling proteins, protein inhibitor of activated STAT proteins, and protein tyrosine phosphatases. However, because of the proproliferation and prosurvival activity of STAT target genes, STAT misregulation can promote tumor initiation and progression. The most common mechanism of STAT misregulation is persistent STAT activation due to chronically elevated cytokine levels, loss of endogenous inhibitors, or hyperactivation of upstream signaling pathway components, such as receptors and tyrosine kinases (6, 7). Another mechanism of misregulation that has become more apparent in recent years is gain-of-function point mutations within STAT-encoding genes (Figure 1). Although rare within the patient population, these STAT mutations provide a unique opportunity to directly test whether STAT transcription factors are necessary or sufficient for oncogenesis.

Gain-of-function STAT mutations

Initial efforts to generate and characterize gain-of-function STAT mutations in the laboratory used both random and structure-driven mutagenesis. Onishi et al. (8) used PCR-driven mutagenesis to introduce random mutations into the STAT5A sequence and then used retroviral delivery to introduce these mutated STAT5A sequences into Ba/F3 cells, the murine pro–B cells that normally depend on IL-3 for proliferation and survival, but become IL-3 independent following transformation. Thus, using IL-3–independent growth as a proxy for STAT5-dependent oncogenesis, Onishi and colleagues identified a constitutively active STAT5 mutant, STAT5A*N642H, with two amino acid substitutions, one in the DNA-binding domain (H299R) and another in the transactivation domain (S711F). Moreover, they demonstrated that this STAT5 mutant was constitutively tyrosine phosphorylated, localized in the nucleus, and transcriptionally active, even in the absence of cytokine.

A few years later, the same group identified another constitutively active STAT5 mutant known as STAT5A*N642H (9). Unlike STAT5A*N642H, STAT5A*N642H harbors an amino acid substitution in the SH2 domain that restores a conserved histidine known to be required for SH2-mediated phosphotyrosine binding in other proteins. Accordingly, Ariyoshi and associates demonstrated that mutating Y694, which is required for STAT5 phosphorylation and activation, negates the gain-of-function activity of STAT5A*N642H.
clearly indicating that tyrosine phosphorylation and dimerization are necessary for the constitutive activity of STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub>. Interestingly, they also found that the same mutation renders STAT5B constitutively active. Together, the studies on STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub> and STAT5A<sub>N642H</sub> demonstrate that constitutively active STAT5 mutants are oncogenic in vitro and are activated through processes that mirror physiological STAT activation.

Around the same time, Bromberg et al. (10) used a structure-based approach to generate and characterize constitutively active STAT3 molecules. In brief, they used crystal structures of DNA-bound STAT dimers to identify two residues within the SH2 domain that could be exchanged for cysteine to facilitate the formation of sulfhydryl bonds between STAT3 monomers. They hypothesized that such modifications, specifically at A661 and N663, would render the STAT3 molecules constitutively active by promoting STAT3 dimerization, even in the absence of STAT3 tyrosine phosphorylation. Using site-directed mutagenesis, they engineered the disulfide-containing constitutively active STAT3 mutant known as STAT3C. They demonstrated that STAT3C is constitutively active and able to cause neoplastic transformation of fibroblasts, which could then form tumors in nude mice. Moreover, they showed that the DNA-binding activity of STAT3C was inhibited by reducing agents, indicating that the hypothesized disulfide bonds are indeed important for STAT3C activity. They did not specifically test whether the presence of cysteine residues was sufficient for constitutive STAT3 activity in the absence of tyrosine phosphorylation.

To address this critical question, Liddle et al. (11) developed STAT3C mutants that were unable to be tyrosine phosphorylated. Interestingly, they also found that the same mutation renders STAT5B constitutively active. Together, the studies on STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub> and STAT5A<sub>N642H</sub> clearly indicating that tyrosine phosphorylation and dimerization are necessary for the constitutive activity of STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub>. Interestingly, they also found that the same mutation renders STAT5B constitutively active. Together, the studies on STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub> and STAT5A<sub>N642H</sub> demonstrate that constitutively active STAT5 mutants are oncogenic in vitro and are activated through processes that mirror physiological STAT activation.

Around the same time, Bromberg et al. (10) used a structure-based approach to generate and characterize constitutively active STAT3 molecules. In brief, they used crystal structures of DNA-bound STAT dimers to identify two residues within the SH2 domain that could be exchanged for cysteine to facilitate the formation of sulfhydryl bonds between STAT3 monomers. They hypothesized that such modifications, specifically at A661 and N663, would render the STAT3 molecules constitutively active by promoting STAT3 dimerization, even in the absence of STAT3 tyrosine phosphorylation. Using site-directed mutagenesis, they engineered the disulfide-containing constitutively active STAT3 mutant known as STAT3C. They demonstrated that STAT3C is constitutively active and able to cause neoplastic transformation of fibroblasts, which could then form tumors in nude mice. Moreover, they showed that the DNA-binding activity of STAT3C was inhibited by reducing agents, indicating that the hypothesized disulfide bonds are indeed important for STAT3C activity. They did not specifically test whether the presence of cysteine residues was sufficient for constitutive STAT3 activity in the absence of tyrosine phosphorylation.

To address this critical question, Liddle et al. (11) developed STAT3C mutants that were unable to be tyrosine phosphorylated. Interestingly, they also found that the same mutation renders STAT5B constitutively active. Together, the studies on STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub> and STAT5A<sub>N642H</sub> demonstrated that disulfide bond formation between STAT3 monomers is not sufficient for STAT3 activation. Moreover, Liddle and colleagues showed that STAT3C tyrosine phosphorylation was significantly prolonged following cytokine stimulation as compared with WT STAT3, suggesting that the constitutive activity of STAT3C is a result of increased dimer stability and reduced dephosphorylation rather than phosphorylation-independent dimerization. Overall, these studies with STAT3C recapitulate the STAT5A<sub>1*6</sub> and STAT5A<sub>N642H</sub> findings, strongly suggesting...
that constitutively active STAT mutants are not uniquely activated, but rather uniquely resistant to inactivation (Figure 1).

The identification and characterization of artificial STAT mutants raised the possibility that constitutively active STAT mutants may drive the pathogenesis of human cancer in patients. Rajala et al. (12) first discovered somatic STAT5 mutations in a small fraction of patients (4 of 211) with large granular lymphocytic (LGL) leukemia, a chronic leukemia characterized by the expansion of cytotoxic T cells or NK cells. They identified two mutations, STAT5B665F and STAT5B642H, both of which were found in the SH2 domain and led to an increase in STAT5B phosphorylation and transcriptional activity. Additionally, the presence of STAT5B642H correlated with an abnormally aggressive and fatal disease compared with typical LGL leukemia.

Rare activating STAT5 mutations have since been found in other hematologic malignancies, including T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL), NK/T cell lymphomas of the nasal type (NKTCCLs), γδ peripheral T cell lymphomas (γδ-PTCLs), T cell prolymphocytic leukemia (T-PLL), and γδ hepatosplenic T cell lymphomas (13–17). In this issue of the JCI, Pham et al. (18) use a transgenic mouse model to directly test whether STAT5B642H, the most frequent STAT5B mutation, is capable of driving the development and progression of human leukemia. First, they observed that STAT5B642H enhances STAT5 tyrosine phosphorylation in vitro and renders Ba/F3 and 32D cells cytokine independent, recapitulating many of the initial findings concerning the artificial STAT mutants (8, 9, 11).

Next, Pham et al. (18) used the Vav1 oncogene promoter system first described by Ogilvy et al. (19) to preferentially express either WT STAT5B or mutant STAT5B642H in the hematopoietic compartment. They found that transgenic mice expressing human STAT5B642H developed aggressive T cell leukemia/lymphoma (TLL) characterized by persistent tyrosine phosphorylation, increased numbers of myeloid progenitor cells, splenomegaly, and increased WBC counts, including expansion of CD8+ T cells. Moreover, they showed that transplantation of CD8+ T cells from STAT5B642H-transgenic mice into nonirradiated recipients phenotypically recapitulated the primary disease. In contrast, WT STAT5B-transgenic mice showed no signs of disease.

Given the persistent STAT5 tyrosine phosphorylation seen both in vitro and in the STAT5B642H-transgenic mouse model, Pham and associates (18) tested whether FDA-approved JAK inhibitors, such as ruxolitinib and tofacitinib, could suppress STAT5B642H-driven disease. In support of previous findings from Liddle et al. (11), treating STAT5B642H-transgenic mice with ruxolitinib decreased STAT5 tyrosine phosphorylation and markedly reduced disease burden, as measured by lymph node and spleen size, WBC counts, and T cell infiltration in lung, skin, and bone marrow. Together, these findings not only demonstrate that STAT5B642H is a driver mutation in TLL, but also highlight the utility of the Vav1 preclinical model for testing the efficacy of treatment regimens for patients carrying STAT5B mutations.

**Therapeutic implications**

Although this study by Pham et al. (18) focuses on STAT5B642H-driven T cell neoplasia, the findings are likely to have broader therapeutic implications. Constitutively active STAT5B mutants appear to be activated through processes that recapitulate physiologic STAT activation and are oncogenic as a result of persistent tyrosine phosphorylation and transcriptional activation of STAT5 target genes. Thus, therapeutic regimens that demonstrate efficacy in WT STAT5-driven disease will likely demonstrate efficacy in mutant STAT5-driven disease, and vice versa. These observations have considerable implications for therapeutic development and suggest that STAT5-specific inhibitors such as pimozide that alter tyrosine phosphorylation are more efficacious in mutant STAT5-driven cancer, including STAT5B642H-driven T cell neoplasia (20, 21).
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